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#### Abstract

In this paper we build a PDE like Black-Scholes equation in hypothesis of a financial derivative that is dependent on two supports (usual is dependent only on one support), like am option based on gold, when national currency has a great float.
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## 1. Assuming the model

We suppose that two supports S , T have a generalized Brownian motion:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{dS}=\mathrm{Adt}+\mathrm{BdW}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{t}}{ }_{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{dT}=\mathrm{Cdt}+\mathrm{DdW}{ }_{t} \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{W}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{t}}$ are two $\rho$-correlated Wiener process (named sometime as Brownian motion, Wiener-Levy process, Wiener-Bachelier process or Wiener-Einstein process, see [1], p. 123):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dW}_{\mathrm{t}}^{1} \mathrm{dW}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}=\rho \mathrm{dt} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and where $\mathrm{A}, \mathrm{B}, \mathrm{C}, \mathrm{D}$ are some two-variables functions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{t}) \\
& \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{t}) \\
& \mathrm{C}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{t}) \\
& \mathrm{D}=\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{t})
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark: In main cases, A and C, respectively B and D have same formulae.
If we have a financial derivative based on these two supports. We denote with $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{t})$ the evaluation of our derivatives at timestamp $t$, when current supports level are $S$ and T. If introduce payoff function with fructification of derivative at maturity time ( $\mathrm{t}_{\text {maturity }}$ ), than we have a condition on boundary:

$$
\mathrm{F}\left(\mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{t}_{\text {maturity }}\right)=\operatorname{payoff}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{~T})(8)
$$

Other boundary conditions can be build for zero-value and infinity-value of supports:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{~s}, 0, \mathrm{t})=\mathrm{F}(0, \mathrm{~s}, 0)=0  \tag{9}\\
\lim _{\mathrm{S} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{Fs}(\mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{t})=\lim _{\mathrm{T} \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{t})=1 \tag{10}
\end{gather*}
$$

## 2. Risk-free portofolio building and Black-Scholes type PDE

Theorem A (extended Itō-like lemma, see [2], for Itō's lemma see [3]): Let be $S_{t}$ and $T_{t}$ two stochastic precesses defined with next stochastic differential equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{dS}=\mathrm{A}(\mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}+\mathrm{B}(\mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dW}^{1}{ }^{\mathrm{t}}  \tag{11}\\
& \mathrm{dT}=\mathrm{C}(\mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt}+\mathrm{D}(\mathrm{~S}, \mathrm{~T}, \mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dW}^{2}{ }^{2} \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{W}^{1}{ }_{\mathrm{t}}$ and $\mathrm{W}^{2}{ }_{\mathrm{t}}$ are two $\rho$-correlated Wiener process:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dW}_{\mathrm{t}}^{1} \mathrm{dW}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}=\rho \mathrm{dt} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f(S, T, t)$ is a differentiable function, then:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{df}=\left[\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{S}} A+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{C}+1 / 2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{SS}} \mathrm{~B}^{2}+1 / 2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{TT}} \mathrm{D}^{2}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ST}} \rho \mathrm{BD}\right] \mathrm{dt}+\left[\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{~B}\right] \mathrm{dW}_{1}+\left[\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}\right] \mathrm{dW}_{2}  \tag{14}\\
& \text { Proof }(\text { see }[2]) .
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma B (risk-free portofolio): If we have a risk-free portofolio $\mathrm{P}(\mathrm{t})$, than

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dP}(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{rP}(\mathrm{t}) \mathrm{dt} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is the annualized risk-free interest rate.
Proof. Obviously.
Theorem C (building a risk-free mixt-portofolio with derivatives and supports): Assuming model (see supra) the portofolio of:

1. one unit of derivative with value $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{t})$;
2. $-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{t})$ units of S stock with total value $-\mathrm{S} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{S}}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{t})$;
3. $-\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{t})$ units of T stock with total value $-\mathrm{T} \mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{T}}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{t})$, Than this portofolio is a risk-free portofolio.

Proof. Let a risk-free portofolio P of:

1. one unit of derivative with value $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{S}, \mathrm{T}, \mathrm{t})$;
2. a units of $S$ stock with total value a $S$;
3. $b$ units of $T$ stock with total value $b S$.

Value of portofolio is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{F}+\mathrm{aS}+\mathrm{b} \mathrm{~T} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (16), (14), (1) and (2) we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
d P=d F+a d S+b d T=\left(\left[f_{t}+f_{S} A+f_{T} C+1 / 2 f_{S S} B^{2}+1 / 2 f_{\mathrm{TT}} D^{2}+f_{\text {ST }} \rho B D\right] d t+\left[f_{S} B\right] d W_{1}+\left[f_{T} D\right]\right. \\
\left.d W_{2}\right)+a\left(A d t+B d W_{t}^{1}\right)+b\left(C d t+D d W_{t}\right)=\left[f_{t}+f_{S} A+f_{T} C+1 / 2 f_{S S} B^{2}+1 / f_{T T} f_{T T} D^{2}+f_{S T} \rho B D+a A\right. \\
+b C] d t+\left[f_{S} B+a B\right] d W_{1}+\left[f_{T} D+b D\right] d W_{2} \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (15), (17) and (16) we have:
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{dP}=\mathrm{r} P \mathrm{dt}=\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{aS}+\mathrm{b} \mathrm{~T}) \mathrm{dt} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (17) and (18) we have:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{C}+1 / 2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{SS}} \mathrm{~B}^{2}+1 / 2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{TT}} \mathrm{D}^{2}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ST}} \rho \mathrm{BD}+\mathrm{aA}+\mathrm{bC}=\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{aS}+\mathrm{bT}) \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{~B}+\mathrm{aB}=0 \quad(20) \\
\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{D}+\mathrm{bD}=0 \tag{21}
\end{gather*}
$$

From (20) and (21) obtain that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{a}=-\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{S}}  \tag{22}\\
& \mathrm{~b}=-\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

q.e.d.

Corrolary D (Black-Scholes-like PDE) Assuming model (see supra), the value of derivative verify next PDE:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{t}+1 / 2 f_{\mathrm{SS}} B^{2}+1 / 2 f_{\mathrm{TT}} D^{2}+f_{\mathrm{ST}} \rho B D-r F+f_{\mathrm{S}} S+f_{\mathrm{T}} T=0 \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From (19), (22) and (23) we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=f_{t}+f_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{C}+1 / 2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{SS}} \mathrm{~B}^{2}+1 / 2 \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{TT}} \mathrm{D}^{2}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ST}} \rho \mathrm{BD}+\mathrm{aA}+\mathrm{bC}-\mathrm{r}(\mathrm{~F}+\mathrm{aS}+\mathrm{b} \mathrm{~T})=\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{~A}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{C}+ \\
& 1 / 2 f_{S S} B^{2}+1 / 2 f_{T T} D^{2}+f_{S T} \rho B D-f_{S} A-f_{T} C-r\left(F-f_{S} S-f_{T} T\right)=f_{t}+1 / 2 f_{S S} B^{2}+1 / 2 f_{T T} D^{2}+f_{S T} \rho B D-r F+ \\
& \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{S}} \mathrm{~S}+\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{~T}  \tag{25}\\
& \text { q.e.d }
\end{align*}
$$

Remarks E: If $\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{T}, \mathrm{A}=\mathrm{C}, \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{D}$ and $\rho=0$ we obtain from (25) generalized BlackScholes equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}+1 / 2 \mathrm{~B}^{2} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{SS}}+\mathrm{rSF} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{S}}-\mathrm{rF}=0 . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A=\mu \mathrm{S}$ and $\mathrm{B}=\sigma \mathrm{S}$ we obtain from (26) Black-Scholes equation (see [4]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{t}}+1 / 2 \sigma^{2} \mathrm{~S}^{2} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{SS}}+\mathrm{rSF} \mathrm{~F}_{\mathrm{S}}-\mathrm{rF}=0 \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$
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